UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,605	02/27/2004	Hanfang Pan	030475	9037
	7590 04/03/200 INCORPORATED	98	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		TORRES, JOSEPH D	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,605	PAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Torres	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19,21,22,29-54 and 72-83</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36 and 83-93</u> is/are w	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19, 21, 22, 29-35, 37-54 and 72-82</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are		d to by the Examine	er.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·— · ·— ·	<u>-</u>				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•		· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/21/2008</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
1	,					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 02/21/2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/21/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant contends, "In rejecting independent claim 36 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter, the Office Action asserted that "Figure 2 in the Applicant's specification teaches that a signal 210 is a computer readable media. Signals are non-statutory." Applicants note that claim 36 is directed to computer readable media, not to signals as such".

The Examiner disagrees and asserts that that the claim limitations recite method steps intended for implementation as computer readable instructions. Nowhere does any limitation in the claims recite any limitation directed to a computer readable media further narrowing the computer readable media. Hence the claim limitations are

directed to a computer program, which is non-statutory since computer programs do not fall into any one of the four statutory categories of invention. Furthermore, the signal transmitted by antenna 210 Figure 2 in the Applicant's specification is computer readable media encompassed by the term "computer readable media". Signals storing computer program instructions for the duration required to traverse a wireless airspace are a computer readable medium and signals are non-statutory since signals do not fall into any one of the four statutory categories of invention. There is no part of claim 36 and 83-93 that is statutory no matter how the Applicant spins arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claims 36 and 83-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Figure 2 in the Applicant's specification teaches that a signal 210 is a computer readable media. Signals are non-statutory.

The claim limitations recite method steps intended for implementation as computer readable instructions. Nowhere does any limitation in the claims recite any limitation directed to a computer readable media further narrowing the computer readable media. Hence the claim limitations are directed to a computer program, which is non-statutory since computer programs do not fall into any one of the four statutory categories of invention. Furthermore, the signal

transmitted by antenna 210 Figure 2 in the Applicant's specification is computer readable media encompassed by the term "computer readable media". Signals storing computer program instructions for the duration required to traverse a wireless airspace are a computer readable medium and signals are non-statutory since signals do not fall into any one of the four statutory categories of invention. There is no part of claim 36 and 83-93 that is statutory no matter how the Applicant spins arguments.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 16-19, 21, 22, 29-35, 37-54 and 72-82 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/789,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (571)

272-3829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joseph D. Torres Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2112

/Joseph D. Torres/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112